	Application No). Ap	plicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/633,622	TA	KATO, HIDEYASU
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Examiner	Art	Unit
	Jordan M. Sch	wartz 287	73
All Participants:	Status of Ap	plication:	
(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.	(3)		
(2) <u>Henry J. Daley</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 August 2004	Time:	.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:]Applicant's representat	iive)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1-16			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE C	F WHAT WAS DIS	SCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will perparate record of the sul	provide a written substance of the inter	ummary of the substance rview, since the interview
(Everniner/SDE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant/a Day		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Rep	resentative Signati	ure – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative authorized the cancellation of non-elected claims 1 and 15-16. Applicant's representative also authorized the placing of claim 3 in independent form as well as the change to claims 2 and 3 concerning the spacings between the lens units which change was made at the request of the examiner to provide clarity to these claims. The changes to claims 4 and 6-14 were discussed and agreed upon to correct the dependency of these claims based upon the cancellation of claim 1 and to provide additional clarity to claim 13.